029QsecC 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 4 Plaintiff 5 V. 23 Civ. 01346 (JSR) 6 TERRAFORM LABS PTE LTD., et 7 al. 8 Defendants 9 New York, N.Y. 10 February 9, 2024 12:30 p.m. 11 Before: 12 HON. JED S. RAKOFF 13 District Judge 14 TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES 15 UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 16 Attorneys for Plaintiff CHRISTOPHER J. CARNEY 17 JAMES P. CONNOR DEVON STAREN 18 CARINA CUELLAR LAURA E. MEEHAN 19 DENTONS US LLP 20 Attorneys for Defendant Terraform LOUIS A. PELLEGRINO III 21 MELISSA GOMEZ NELSON MATTHEW A. LAFFERMAN 22 KAPLAN HECKER & FINK LLP 23 Attorney for Defendant Kwon MICHAEL FERRARA 24 25

23

24

25

(The Court and all parties appearing via telephone) 1 2 THE COURT: This is Judge Rakoff. Will counsel please identify themselves. 3 4 MR. CARNEY: Good afternoon, your Honor. 5 This is Christopher Carney on behalf of the SEC.. 6 MR. PELLEGRINO: Louis Pellegrino for the Dentons 7 I'm joined by Melissa Nelson and Matt Lafferman who just firm. 8 joined us. 9 MR. FERRARA: For defendant Kwon, Michael Ferrara, 10 Kaplan Hecker & Fink. Good afternoon, your Honor. 11 MR. CARNEY: This Chris Carney from the SEC again. 12 would just note that I'm joined by several of my colleagues as 13 well: James Connor, Laura Meehan, Devon Staren and Carina 14 Cuellar. Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: So the reason I convened this call is that 15 16 in granting the two-month adjournment of trial, I relied, among 17 other things, on the representation from Mr. Kwon's attorney that Kwon would be consenting to extradition. And then I read 18 19 in the news yesterday, and it was not clear to me, but it 20 sounded like that he wasn't consenting. So maybe someone can 21 fill me in.

MR. FERRARA: This is Michael Ferrara. Happy to address that, your Honor. I will candidly tell you that we had the same sorts of questions when we spoke to local counsel in Montenegro. The analogy I would use to sort of tee this up,

your Honor, is someone who is coming in to plead guilty but expects the process to be fair; meaning, we're going to go through the Rule 11 allocution, right? You're going to be advised of the various maximum penalties, right? We wouldn't want someone who is consenting to us, you know, to plead to the charges against him, we still want that person to have a fair proceeding. And my understanding from local counsel is that Mr. Kwon is not raising challenges that he potentially could raise to extradition, but he is saying that he expects it to be done fairly and in accordance with law.

And so there were various irregularities, I'll call they will. I obviously am not an expert in Montenegro law. We are consulting with, as I mentioned, with local counsel. But, for instance, like I think that the lower court was supposed to have, for instance, like sort of calculated which request for extradition came in first, and it didn't. I think the lower court didn't even at first ask Mr. Kwon if he consented to extradition to the United States. It only asked him if he consented to South Korea. So Mr. Kwon's counsel in Montenegro raised certain challenges basically saying —

THE COURT: Excuse me for interrupting, but -- so nothing would have prevented him to inform the higher court that, of course, we also consent to extradition to the United States, and also if there were some procedures that were not followed, we waive our objection, just as someone in the

analogy you had to would have to consent to waiving all their various constitutional rights.

And so I don't understand why counsel in Montenegro did not — if Mr. Kwon was really interested in being extradited, as was represented to this Court — make those assertions to the higher court so we wouldn't be faced with this situation.

MR. FERRARA: Understood. Your Honor, I think, right, we're talking about this through two sort of problematic lenses. The first is I think we are both -- I have the benefit of speaking with local counsel. I suspect your Honor does not have a Montenegro legal expert. I will say today there were more news articles that came out. A lot of times we are not able to get local counsel on the phone. So a lot of these news reports which are, you know, tricky. And, number two, I'm obviously not an expert in that law, but my understanding is I don't think that's the right -- like the right place to do it. To continue to use this analogy that I started, one might say to the appellate court, the defendant is prepared to plead but he needs to be advised of his rights, and the appellate court is not the place to do that.

Now I will be candid with you, your Honor, we have -- as we have told the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District, Mr. Kwon would, quite frankly, prefer to be extradited to South Korea. That's where his family is. But he

is consenting to both. But yes, he has raised certain issues with the way the proceeding is done.

Now I will also tell your Honor that my understanding is that we developed from some of the news reports is that the objections he raised that the appellate court now sent this back down to the lower court, actually should speed this process up. The way we understand it's supposed to work is if an extraditee -- I'm not sure that's a word -- agrees to be extradited, consents to the extradition, then the Court decides where the person goes as opposed to sending it to the Minister of Justice in Montenegro to decide. So we are hopeful, and part of the idea of this was that it would make things move faster.

THE COURT: Well, while you are right that I have not consulted any expert in Montenegro law, what was represented in the letter from Mr. Patton to me of January 11, 2024 was not only that Mr. Kwon had consented to extradition to the United States but also that "Mr. Kwon through his counsel in Montenegro has made every effort to be extradited from Montenegro as quickly as possible." And I am sorry that we don't -- by the way, does Montenegro counsel speak English?

MR. FERRARA: We use an interpreter.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. FERRARA: I believe -- I don't want to -- I believe we use the same interpreter. I believe it's a person

who works with counsel, so they might -- I honestly can't say if they're both lawyers, but our understanding is that we have one -- he's actually quoted -- he is quoted in one of the articles. He is our local counsel. He has a colleague who is with him in all of our meetings who interprets.

THE COURT: Well, the fact of the matter is that it's hard for me to escape the conclusion that I was misled about what was going to happen and that I materially relied on those misleading statements in adjourning the trial. Based on what I have just been informed, I will assume and presume that any such misleading was unintentional on U.S. counsel's behalf.

However, going forward, I will not accept any representations relating to any aspect of what is going on in Montenegro unless they take the form of a sworn declaration by Montenegro counsel duly translated to English by a certified interpreter and made subject to penalties of perjury in the United States. Otherwise, I don't want to hear one word about what allegedly is Mr. Kwon's position regarding extradition from Montenegro because I don't trust it.

Anything else counsel wants to raise?

MR. FERRARA: Well, your Honor, I am going back and forth in my head. This is still Michael Ferrara for Mr. Kwon.

You know, obviously, Judge, you know, my, David Patton and Sean Hecker's reputation with you is obviously -- and the way we relate with you is obviously of supreme importance to

you and our law firm. And the idea I just feel -- I feel like I need to be quite sure when I go back to discuss with them what happened here, if we need to put more in on this, we will, but the idea that we would ever try to mislead you in any way, like I can't sort of let that sit there.

THE COURT: I thought I made clear, but I will make clear again, that the misleading impression that I was left with which I relied on in granting the request for the adjournment, I am quite sure was unintentional on American counsel's part. Whether it was the result of less than total cooperation by Montenegro counsel, I have no idea, but it is precisely because of the Court's very positive experiences with all the counsel, all the U.S. counsel for Mr. Kwon that you just mentioned, that I am presuming it was totally unintentional.

I do get the feeling — but this may not be correct and you can correct me if I'm wrong — that U.S. counsel did not convey to Montenegro counsel in advance of what occurred yesterday: Judge Rakoff has made it absolutely clear in his order that he's granting this extension because of the representations that were made to him that both Mr. Kwon is consenting to extradition to the United States and is prepared to do through his counsel in Montenegro is "prepared to make every effort to be extradited as quickly as possible." And I get the feeling that maybe the seriousness of the Court's

reliance on those representations by U.S. counsel was not perhaps conveyed to Montenegro counsel as forcefully as it might have been. But I could be wrong about that.

MR. FERRARA: Well, I --

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. FERRARA: Well, I -- and perhaps I didn't, and shame on me if I didn't. I will say that in addition to, of course, wanting to always speak truthfully to you, we, as you might imagine, have been asked this same question about consent and why are these articles happening, from both the SEC and the Department of Justice, and we have been trying to answer them.

So, again, without getting into privileged conversations or waiving anything, I can tell you we asked at least 15 different ways because we too are puzzled, and I feel like it is sort of an oddity of Montenegro extradition law that a person can both consent and sort of insist that it be done legally, which is why we tried to say in our letter, you know, subject to appropriate — I can't remember the exact wording.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this, and maybe you don't know the answer. After I granted the extension, did Montenegro counsel file anything with the Montenegro court in connection with this appeal saying, in words or substance, based on the representations that we have made to the U.S. court, we are withdrawing any objections we have to what occurred below and urge the Court to simply allow us to be extradited to the U.S.

as quickly as possible. Was anything remotely like that filed?

MR. FERRARA: I don't believe so.

THE COURT: No, I don't believe so either. So that is why I think you need to continue to put pressure on Montenegro counsel to fulfill the substance of the representations that were made to me. But I repeat, I'm not assuming any bad faith on the part of U.S. counsel. I'm delighted you're in this case, but I am not going to accept anything regarding what's going on in Montenegro unless it takes the form of a declaration from Montenegro counsel under oath subject to punishment for perjury in the U.S. Got it?

MR. FERRARA: Understood.

THE COURT: Very good. Thanks a lot. Bye-bye. (Adjourned)